

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

8

9 Uber Technologies Incorporated,

No. CV-23-00416-PHX-JAT

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER

11 v.

12 Sir Lawrence Davis,

13 Defendant.

14

15 The petition pending before this Court alleges jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. §
16 1331. However, the only federal statute referenced is 9 U.S.C. § 9, which is the Federal
17 Arbitration Act (“FAA”). “[T]he FAA does not itself confer jurisdiction on federal district
18 courts over actions to compel arbitration or to confirm or vacate arbitration awards
19 [citations omitted], nor does it create a federal cause of action giving rise to federal question
20 jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.” *United States v. Park Place Assocs., Ltd.*, 563 F.3d
21 907, 918 (9th Cir. 2009). Thus, the FAA cannot create federal question jurisdiction.

22 Accordingly,

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

IT IS ORDERED that within 7 days of the date of this Order, Plaintiff must file a supplement to the petition alleging a basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction, or this case will be dismissed, without prejudice.

Dated this 12th day of May, 2023.


James A. Teilborg
Senior United States District Judge